

Vertical Slice Plan v1

Which work unit can a reviewer judge on its own?

OUTCOME	Decompose one implementation-ready specification into bounded work units with at least one smallest useful end-to-end slice.
BOUNDARY	No specification rewrite, code, work-unit execution, or AI run. FND-003 owns execution.

Specification lock

Spec ID and version	<div></div>	Human reviewer	<div></div>
Problem and outcome	<div></div>	Readiness state	READY / NOT READY
In scope	<div></div>	Out of scope	<div></div>
Constraints and criteria	<div></div>	Open contradictions	0 required before decomposition

Concept checkpoint

Decision	Question	Your answer	Reviewer note
Task decomposition	What bounded result or support role does each unit have?	<div></div>	<div></div>
Vertical slice	Which unit closes an observable criterion end to end?	<div></div>	<div></div>
Smallest useful slice	Why is the result useful rather than empty scaffolding?	<div></div>	<div></div>
Dependency and rollback	What must precede what, and what can be reversed safely?	<div></div>	<div></div>

Candidate work units

Start from criteria and outcomes. Use a support type when a unit does not independently close user value.

Candidate work unit 1

Unit ID	_____	Unit type	VERTICAL SLICE / SUPPORTING DEPENDENCY / VERIFICATION SUPPORT
Bounded outcome	_____	Criteria closed	_____
Layers touched	_____	Prerequisites	_____
Evidence role	_____	Order reason	_____
Merge boundary	_____	Rollback boundary	_____

Candidate work unit 2

Unit ID	_____	Unit type	VERTICAL SLICE / SUPPORTING DEPENDENCY / VERIFICATION SUPPORT
Bounded outcome	_____	Criteria closed	_____
Layers touched	_____	Prerequisites	_____
Evidence role	_____	Order reason	_____
Merge boundary	_____	Rollback boundary	_____

Candidate work unit 3

Unit ID	_____	Unit type	VERTICAL SLICE / SUPPORTING DEPENDENCY / VERIFICATION SUPPORT
Bounded outcome	_____	Criteria closed	_____
Layers touched	_____	Prerequisites	_____
Evidence role	_____	Order reason	_____
Merge boundary	_____	Rollback boundary	_____

Order, evidence, and rollback map

Order	Unit ID	Prerequisite	Evidence role	Merge boundary	Rollback boundary	Next unit and reason
1	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
	—					

	—					
2	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
	—					

	—					
3	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
	—					

	—					
4	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
	—					

	—					

Dependency stress test

Pressure	Decision	Evidence or repair
One shared dependency exists	Proceed / sequence / replan	_____
Evidence for one order choice is incomplete	Proceed / sequence / replan	_____
One rollback is expensive	Proceed / sequence / replan	_____
Stakeholders request early parallel work	Proceed / sequence / replan	_____

Planned evidence boundary

RECORD	Name the evidence that execution must collect. Do not record planned evidence as if it already exists.
--------	--

Acceptance and transfer

Acceptance check	Yes / No	Evidence or repair
Every unit has a bounded outcome and an explicit evidence role.		<div></div>
At least one smallest useful slice closes an observable criterion end to end.		<div></div>
Supporting dependencies remain attached to a named slice.		<div></div>
Sequencing follows dependency or risk rather than arbitrary order.		<div></div>
Every merge and rollback boundary is explicit or justified as shared.		<div></div>
The plan preserves the specification and keeps execution in FND-003.		<div></div>
A new reviewer can judge the plan without oral context.		<div></div>
Residual uncertainty is explicit, including NONE when fully closed.		<div></div>

Human decision record

Decision	ACCEPT / MODIFY / REJECT	Reviewer	<div></div>
Rationale tied to evidence	<div></div>	Date	<div></div>
Residual uncertainty	NONE / CLOSED or explicit open item	Plan version	v1
Handoff	Selected unit and evidence plan to FND-003 after acceptance	Owner	<div></div>

Changed-context transfer

Scenario	Avatar refresh. Success shows the new image on the next visit. Failure preserves the old image and shows an error. Upload and storage contracts stay unchanged.
Smallest useful slice	<div></div>
Dependency, rollback, and next unit	<div></div>
What changed from the task-filter case	<div></div>

Source authority: canonical FND-002 content/en-US.md, lesson_bible.json, and TBL-01_vertical_slice_plan.json. The canonical lesson remains authoritative.